

FEATURES LIST


- 2001 ✓ Post-Med dry stone wall, crossing CV E-W.
- 2002 ✓ " " " " " : N. tumble
- 2003 ✓ " " " " " S. tumble
- 2004 ✓ Line of burnt small stones below 2001 (no connection), set in ? (3)
- 2005 ✓ Neolithic bank, in S. part of CV (for strat see opposite)
- 2006 ✓ Circle of burnt stones, circling a patch of soil, at SW corner of CV.
Possible p.h. ∴ Cuts (2) & is later than F.2005. [p.5]
- 2007 ✓ Area of medium stones at SW corner of CV, some pitched
- 2008 ✓ Area of medium stones 20-30 cm. long S. of 2001. Contiguous with 2005 (2), but prob. disturbance associated with F.2001.
- 2009 ✓ Area of medium stones on W. sides CV, (i.e. in an area of small stones).
Overlies CV (2)
- 2010 ✓ Slope tract of Neol. bank/ditch. (see p.150 for strat).
- 2011 ✓ Patch of burnt small stones, NW of 2006. PH?
- 2012 ✓ Circle of burnt small stones, N. of 2006.
half-sectioned 16/18 July; looks unlikely to be p.h.

F. 2005

[ADDED TO ON 26-7-75] 21/7/75

Neolithic bank F. 2005 + general layer ② were removed by strips 2m wide with 25cm. baulks. The limits of the bank were not clear. To its NW side it was obliterated by F. 2001 (modern wall + disturbance F. 2008). Its W. side or slope seemed to grade into the small stone spread of layer ②. Its E. side had presumably slithered down the slope beyond the E. baulk of the cutting.

Initially the ② was removed, exposing a lower layer of sharp angular stones set in brown soil (④). No true bank edge was found.



The gap in the continuation of the bank caused by the modern disturbance might obscure the possibility that F. 2005 barely extended NW of the modern wall i.e. that F. 2005 swung westwards (see 1:100 sketch plan). Certainly F. 2005 seems separate from the bank F. 2010, & two Neolithic banks seems likely here.