

FEATURES LIST

- 2001 ✓ Post-Med dry stone wall, crossing CV E-W.
- 2002 ✓ " " " " " : N. tumble
- 2003 ✓ " " " " " S. tumble
- 2004 ✓ Line of burnt small stones below 2001 (no connection), set in ? (3)
- 2005 ✓ Neolithic bank, in S. part of CV (for strat see opposite)
- 2006 ✓ Circle of burnt stones, circling a patch of soil, at SW corner of CV.
Possible p.h. ∴ Cuts (2) & is later than F.2005. [p.5]
- 2007 ✓ Area of medium stones at SW corner of CV, some pitched
- 2008 ✓ Area of medium stones 20-30 cm. long S. of 2001. Contiguous with 2005 (2), but prob. disturbance associated with F.2001.
- 2009 ✓ Area of medium stones on W. sides CV, (i.e. in an area of small stones).
Overlies CV (2)
- 2010 ✓ Slope tract of Neol. bank/ditch. (see p.150 for strat).
- 2011 ✓ Patch of burnt small stones, NW of 2006. PH?
- 2012 ✓ Circle of burnt small stones, N. of 2006.
half-sectioned 16/18 July; looks unlikely to be p.h.

OVERLAY
TO PLAW
1.

BOTTOMED
FEATURES


→ N

F.2011



11073


F.2006



LINE FOR F.2012

F. 2006

Circle of burnt stones indicated a p.h. Most of the burnt ones were within the uppermost fill (F. 2006 ①), although some were found within the main fill (F. 2006 ②); none were at the bottom.




T.G. Allen

Note: majority of finds were on W. side of p.h., including a large amount of tiny burnt bone frags on its lip, assigned to general layer ②.

Existence of ④ is not certain: in the uppermost on N side esp. top of ③ it seems that the post was small and pointed (c. 10 cm scanning) Red burning on upright possibility of double ph. with (if so) S. one earlier.

F. 2011



Burnt stones indicated posthole. This was verified by the finding of other pieces of burnt limestone in upper layers. These disappeared toward the base of the posthole.