

CH74

CONTEXT

F 1662

CUTTING H4 CO-ORDINATES: East North

DATE EXPOSED DATE COMPLETED

DESCRIPTION Post hole?

MAX. WIDTH AND DIRECTION

MAX. LENGTH AND DIRECTION

MAX. DEPTH/HEIGHT THICKNESS

OVERLIES

OVERLAIN BY

CUTS

CUT BY

ABUTS OR CONTIGUOUS WITH

PLANS No. SECTIONS No. see following page

PHOTO: B/W COL. NEG. NOS.

SAMPLE Type: Nos.

EXCAVATOR

RECORDER

ASSOCIATED FEATURES

ASSOCIATED FINDS

COMMENT

This isn't really convincing as a posthole, however because it was a small area within a scatter of small burnt stones, I thought it ought to be investigated. Being in a large fault with a mixture of large upturned stones compacted with very small stones, it is ~~perhaps~~ worth noting that it does take on a round form with reasonably firm edges.

As I said, not altogether convincing but I've seen worse suspected postholes in faults, on this site in

P.T.O

previous years, which we've accepted because they were
in the right place - I can't say the same for this one - yet.
Due to uncertainty, and misplaced section string no cross
section unfortunately. Quite a few small burnt stones.
within the fill. Photographed 26.7.74
2 drawings Inc.