

CH

CONTEXT F 3684

CUTTING

DATE EXPOSED CH 79 DATE COMPLETED

DESCRIPTION Possible land surface, within 2961. - at approximately 10cms from surface.

MAX. WIDTH AND DIRECTION

MAX. LENGTH AND DIRECTION

MAX. DEPTH/HEIGHT THICKNESS

OVERLIES

OVERLAIN BY 2961 split 0-10cms

CUTS

CUT BY

ABUTS OR CONTIGUOUS WITH

PLANS No. ~~CH 79~~ ~~Notes~~ SECTIONS No.

PHOTO: B/W COL. NEG. NOS.

SAMPLE Type: Nos.

EXCAVATOR

RECORDER RMC

ASSOCIATED FEATURES

ASSOCIATED FINDS

COMMENT This was not recognised in previous years - and was only shown up by the analysis of the ^{small} faunal from 2961. At this stage ~~the~~ Bob ^{Atkinson} thinks that there may in fact be more than one land surface below the habitation surface which we do recognise. However, as at c. 10cms the surface does seem fairly compact, and is still producing a lot of PHASING ^{finds} ~~finds~~ + contains a lot of stones, we have decided to number this as another ~~land~~ ^{stone} land surface. However, ~~because of the uncertainty about it, we will continue to number the split in Segment 12~~ I have continued to record splits in 3684 as ~~it~~ measured from the top of 2961. However, over the general area confusion has arisen because the land surface is actually higher beneath the P.T.O.

SECTION:

NOTES/PHOTOGRAPHS:

Centre of the mound than it is towards the ^{sides} ~~to~~ ^{that} ~~is~~ ⁱⁿ eg. segment 12, we seem to come down out to it, ~~and~~ at c. 11cms, whereas on the same bank, towards the southern edge of the mound we have taken it to be c. 4cms below the top of 2961. ~~Then here~~.
Over ~~the~~ ~~SS~~ SS + S4, apart from the boulders, we mentioned by RPR that he had taken approx. one 5cms spit from the top of the land surface - however it is clear from the sections that towards the centre of the mound he took it at least 10cms. However, having taken 5cms as a convention, we labelled our spits in the same sequence, ~~was~~ ~~not~~ except that for samples in the boulders we took exact measurements from the top of the land surface - hence samples with spits of 11-12 etc. ~~and~~.

On the 12-8-80 we took approx 2-3cms from the general surface in S3/S4, which we had not previously touched - and are planning it as Plan 6 S3/S4 - + which will be labelled with this number 3684 - and will actually be at approx ~~conventionally~~ c. 5cms by the convention we have been using - but in fact - at the centre of the mound - i.e. the S3/S2 boundary at c. 3m. where we took most + chemical samples, there is approx 15cms of 2961 above 3684.
By ~~the~~ the general convention however ~~the~~ 3684 is ~~below~~ approx 2961 - 10cms. Finds will now be recorded as from the top of 3684.